

Challenge to health care reform

King v Burwell

King v Burwell

- **Plaintiff - David King:**
- 64-year-old Vietnam veteran,
- a resident of Fredericksburg, Virginia,
- works as a limo driver and makes \$39,000 a year,
- didn't want to buy health insurance
- Other plaintiffs:
 - **Douglas Hurst,**
 - **Brenda Levy**
 - **Rose Luck**
- **Sylvia Matthews Burwell:**
- Replaced Kathleen Sebelius as US Secretary of the Dept of Health and Human Services

The argument

- The challengers argue that the text of the ACA only allows for subsidies on state-run exchanges,
- the regulation implemented by the IRS, providing for subsidies on state-run exchanges as well as federal exchanges, exceeds the authority Congress granted to it.

Subsidies

Purpose:

Encourage enrollment
Shield lower income
individuals and families
from sticker shock
Low risk need an incentive
and improve risk and lower
premiums

Results - those enrolled in federally run exchange:

- 7.5 million received subsidies (87%)
- 1.1 million without subsidy (13%) - KFF

• **Individual market:**

- 100% to 400% of FPL (about \$24,000 to \$96,000 - family of four)
- have no offers of coverage from other sources
- 85% received subsidies in 2014
- Families' required contributions 2% if just above FPL and 9.5% if 400% FPL
- If premiums increase, families contribution doesn't change if in benchmark or less expensive plan – KFF

What happens if subsidies go away?

- **Eliminating Subsidies Will Raise Premiums and Lower Enrollment**
- Specifically, eliminating tax credits in all states would cause:
 - Premiums in the individual market to rise 43 percent
 - Enrollment in the individual market to fall by 68 percent; 70% among adults ages 18–34
 - More than 11 million Americans to become uninsured
- When the analysis is restricted to the 34 states with federally facilitated marketplaces (FFMs), eliminating subsidies in FFM states would cause:
 - Premiums in the individual market in FFM states to rise 47 percent
 - Enrollment in the individual market in FFM states to fall by 70 percent
 - About 8 million Americans to become uninsured

Benefits of Subsidies

Rand Research Brief

- High-risk people — those with poorer health and higher health care costs — are likely to sign up for coverage regardless of subsidies.
- low-risk individuals — typically, younger, healthier adults — may need a tax credit to entice them to enroll.
- When low risk individuals sign up for coverage, they improve the risk pool and bring premiums down.
- tax credits in the individual market reduce premium costs for everyone, not just people with tax credits.
- **Without subsidies :**
 - large numbers of potential buyers will not enroll, leading to steep premium hikes for those who remain in the market.
- The resulting exchanges will consist of a small number of high-risk enrollees, leaving the majority priced out of the market.
- The tax credits exert a stabilizing effect, and therefore eliminating them will result in a substantial disruption to the market